

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,175	LITTLE, LAWRENCE C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James G. Barrow	3749	

All Participants:

 (1) James G. Barrow.

 (2) John L. Lee.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-18


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An Examiner's amendment was attempted on the specification, claims, and drawings. Agreement could not be reached on the objection to the drawings based on claim 18.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)